

## **REMARKS**

The Applicants wish to thank Examiner Graham for the courtesy extended to the undersigned attorney during the telephone interview of January 10, 2008. During this interview, it was discussed that Examiner Graham and his Primary Examiner reviewed this case. Furthermore, Examiner Graham suggested that the claims should be in condition for allowance if the claims were amended to remove any intended use claim language. Applicants have taken Examiner Graham's suggestion and accordingly submit amended claims herewith.

Claims 1-17, 19-25, 27-33, and 35-36 are pending in this application.

### **A. Claims 1, 8, 11, 15, 19, 23, 27, and 29: 35 U.S.C. §101**

On January 10, 2008, the undersigned attorney interviewed this case with Examiner Graham. Examiner Graham suggested that amending the claims to remove any intended use language should place the pending claims in condition for allowance. The Applicants have amended the claims per the Examiner's suggestion.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully assert that the claims are in condition for allowance and that this rejection should be withdrawn.

### **B. Claims 1, 8, 11, and 15: 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph**

On January 10, 2008, the undersigned attorney interviewed this case with Examiner Graham. Examiner Graham suggested that amending the claims to remove any intended use language should place the pending claims in condition for allowance. The Applicants have amended the claims per the Examiner's suggestion.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully assert that the claims are in condition for allowance and that this rejection should be withdrawn.

**C. Claims 1-14: 35 U.S.C. §103(a)**

On January 10, 2008, the undersigned attorney interviewed this case with Examiner Graham. Examiner Graham suggested that amending the claims to remove any intended use language should place the pending claims in condition for allowance. The Applicants have amended the claims per the Examiner's suggestion.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully assert that the claims are in condition for allowance and that this rejection should be withdrawn.

**D. Claims 15-17 and 27-28: 35 U.S.C. §103(a)**

On January 10, 2008, the undersigned attorney interviewed this case with Examiner Graham. Examiner Graham suggested that amending the claims to remove any intended use language should place the pending claims in condition for allowance. The Applicants have amended the claims per the Examiner's suggestion.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully assert that the claims are in condition for allowance and that this rejection should be withdrawn.

**E. Claims 19-22: 35 U.S.C. §103(a)**

On January 10, 2008, the undersigned attorney interviewed this case with Examiner Graham. Examiner Graham suggested that amending the claims to remove any intended use language should place the pending claims in condition for allowance. The Applicants have amended the claims per the Examiner's suggestion.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully assert that the claims are in condition for allowance and that this rejection should be withdrawn.

**F. Claims 23-25, 29-33, and 35-36: 35 U.S.C. §103(a)**

On January 10, 2008, the undersigned attorney interviewed this case with Examiner Graham. Examiner Graham suggested that amending the claims to remove any intended use language should place the pending claims in condition for allowance. The Applicants have amended the claims per the Examiner's suggestion.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully assert that the claims are in condition for allowance and that this rejection should be withdrawn.

### CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, the Applicants respectfully submit that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance and a notice to this effect is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned if it would expedite the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "David P. Lindner", written over a horizontal line.

David P. Lindner  
Registration No. 53,222  
Attorney for the Applicants

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE  
P.O. BOX 10395  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610  
Tel: (312) 222-8123